Overloading study of ionized compounds in hydrophilic interaction chromatography
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The overloading behaviour of charged acidic (acetylsalicylic acid and nicotinic acid) and basic (creatinine and 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium) solutes was investigated on two stationary phases (bare silica and amide-bonded silica) in the hydrophilic interaction chromatography separation mode at three mobile phase pH values (3.0, 5.0 and 7.0). On the bare silica both cationic solutes showed the increased peak tailing as the sample load increased. However, on the amide phase they exhibited a quite different overloading behaviour. The peak shapes for creatinine showed the increased tailing whereas for 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium peak fronting occurs with the sample load. Anionic solutes on both phases showed the increased peak fronting as the sample load increased. In neutral and slightly acidic mobile phases the loadability of the bare silica phase was much higher for bases than for acids. In addition, the loading capacity for the bases increases with pH whereas an opposite trend was observed for the acidic compounds. The amide phase gave similar loading capacity values for both types of solutes and its loadability is less sensitive to pH changes, compared to that of bare silica. The obtained results indicate that ionic interactions with dissociated surface silanols play an important role in the overloading behaviour of charged solutes.
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INTRODUCTION

The peak shape and width are very important in chromatography for resolution enhancement and separation improvement. Although many factors contribute to the peak shape and width, the mass overload is perhaps one of the main reasons of the deterioration in column performance in separations by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) techniques. The mass (sometimes also called ‘concentration’) overload results in the concentration range of the solute in the stationary phase reaching a non-linear part of the sorption isotherm and results in distorted peaks. The specific peak shape observed under mass overload conditions depends on the shape of the sorption isotherm. The so-called Langmuir isotherms have a convex curvature and give rise to tailing peaks. Anti-Langmuir isotherms have a concave curvature and show peaks having a diffuse front and a steep rear (i.e. fronting peaks) [1, 2].

Column overloading is of a special interest to the pharmaceutical industry, e.g. in the determination of impurities. Here, it is necessary to inject
large sample sizes to enable detection of small impurity peaks, which, however, may be obscured by broad or tailing signals from the major constituents. Reversed-phase (RP) separations using silica phases bonded with alkyl ligands have for many years dominated in the field of HPLC, due to many advantages of this technique \([2]\). However, the separation of small, ionizable compounds remains challenging with this technique. Such compounds are usually poorly or even not retained under RP-HPLC conditions. In addition, column overloading and its deleterious effect on the peak shape is quite common with such solutes even when surprisingly small amounts of the solute are injected \([2]\). Experimental and theoretical models on overloading of ionized solutes on RP-HPLC columns have been examined in detail \([3,4]\).

Hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) is a feasible alternative for the separation of highly polar ionizable compounds. This separation technique uses a polar stationary phase (for example, unmodified silica or a polar bonded phase) in conjunction with a polar mobile phase containing more than 60–70% of an organic solvent (typically acetonitrile) in an aqueous buffer. The term HILIC was first suggested by Alpert in 1990, who explained its principles and some important applications \([5]\). However, HILIC did not become widely recognized as a distinct chromatographic mode until it was ‘rediscovered’ by the scientific community in the mid of the first decade of this century \([6]\). The rising popularity of HILIC over the last decade coincided with a wider availability of specifically designed HILIC stationary phases with diverse functionalities, which could offer different selectivity and higher retention for polar compounds \([1,2]\).

Despite a large number of publications dealing with the separation of ionizable compounds under HILIC conditions, only few efforts have been made to investigate the overloading behaviour of such compounds in the HILIC separation mode \([12-14]\). However, these studies have focused only on the overloading of basic solutes on bare silica stationary phases.

In the present work, we have investigated the overloading behaviour of some acidic and basic compounds at different mobile phase pH on the two most popular HILIC stationary phases (bare silica and amide-bonded silica).

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Acetonitrile (ACN), acetic acid, formic acid, ammonium acetate and ammonium formate were of LC-MS grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Acetylsalicylic acid (≥99%), creatinine (≥98%), 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (≥98%) and nicotinic acid (≥98%) were also from Sigma-Aldrich.

Individual stock solutions of analytes at a concentration of 2.50 g/L were prepared in an ACN/H\(_2\)O (1:1, v/v) solution containing 25 mmol/L of an appropriate buffer. The working standard solutions were prepared by diluting the stock solution with an appropriate mobile phase. The pH 3.0 ammonium formate and pH 5.0 ammonium acetate buffers were prepared by adjusting the ammonium formate or ammonium acetate solution with formic or acetic acid. The pH 7.0 buffer was prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of ammonium acetate salt in water.

HILIC separations were performed on a model 1290 Infinity ultra-high pressure liquid chromatograph from Agilent Technologies (Waldbronn, Germany) including a binary pump, an autosampler, and a photodiode array detector. Acquity UPLC BEH HILIC (bare silica) and Acquity UPLC BEH Amide (silica coated with amide functional groups) columns (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm, Waters) were used in the experiments. Acetonitrile-water mixtures with the 5 mmol/L of appropriate buffer were used as mobile phases. For the large retention factor \(k\), the proportion of the injected solute amount held in the stationary phase is greater. Consequently, the reduction in efficiency for the same amount of the solute should be greater for stronger retained solutes. In order to facilitate comparison of results the acetonitrile concentration in the mobile phases was adjusted to give a similar retention factor (−4) for each solute with both columns and with each mobile phase. The column temperature was maintained at 25°C in all experiments. The mobile phase flow rate was 0.25 mL/min. The injection volume was 2 μL. In all experiments, the columns were first equilibrated with the mobile phase for at least 45 min. The column efficiency (N) was determined from peak widths at half height. The column dead time was measured by injection of toluene. All results were the mean of at least duplicate injections.
Data collection and management was performed by the Agilent MassHunter software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the solutes

We selected four compounds as model solutes to examine their overloading behaviour in HILIC. The chemical structures of the solutes are presented in Fig. 1 and their $pK_a$ and log $D$ values are listed in Table 1. These compounds are very hydrophilic with log $D$ values ranging between $-0.85$ and $-2.34$. The log $D$ value for 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium was not available. Nonetheless, 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium seems to be more hydrophilic than creatinine because it is stronger retained on the both HILIC phases.

![Fig. 1. Structures of the model solutes](image)

Table 1. Properties of model solutes [15]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solute</th>
<th>$pK_a$</th>
<th>log $D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>$pK_{a1} = 4.8$</td>
<td>$pK_{a2} = 9.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>$pK_a = 3.5$</td>
<td>$-0.85~(pH~6.8)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinic acid</td>
<td>$pK_{a1} = 2.2$</td>
<td>$pK_{a2} = 4.8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two of the solutes (creatinine and 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium) are positively charged in the pH range (3–7) studied. 1-Ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium, a quaternary ammonium compound, is permanently positively charged, independent of the pH of its solution, whereas the net positive charge of creatinine will increase with decreasing pH. Acetylsalicylic acid carries a partial or full negative charge in the pH range investigated. Nicotinic acid contains both a carboxylic group and an amino group therefore, depending on the pH, may be negatively or positively charged (see the comments below).

Overloading properties on the BEH HILIC column

Figure 2 shows the overlaid peak profiles of the cationic solutes obtained on the BEH HILIC column when increasing concentrations (up to 1000 mg/L) of each solute were injected at three mobile phase pH values. The higher solute concentrations were not investigated due to the limited solubility in acetonitrile-rich HILIC mobile phases. For purposes of simplicity, only 6 plots at different sample load are presented for each solute, although 12 data points were actually measured. As all samples were prepared in the corresponding mobile phases, the possibility of pH-mismatching to bring on the deterioration could be excluded. While peaks of the smallest concentrations are almost symmetrical, broadening with peak tailing takes place as the sample load increased. Also, retention times move to shorter values with increasing the sample load. The presence of tailing suggests the Langmuir sorption behaviour. Such behaviour is mostly observed for ionized compounds in RP-HPLC separations [4]. The peak tailing effect was significantly strongly pronounced at pH 3.0. A possible explanation of this effect is that at low pH, ionization of surface silanols should be substantially suppressed. The removal of negatively charged retention sites may be responsible for the reduced column capacity at lower pH.

Figure 3 shows similar plots for acetylsalicylic and nicotinic acids. As can be seen, at pH 5.0 and 7.0, both solutes showed the increased peak fronting as the sample load increased. The fronting could be due to the anti-Langmuir sorption behaviour [15]. Häglund and Ståhlberg [16] explained the increased overloading of anionic compounds in RP-HPLC as being due to mutual repulsion of the similarly charged ions occurring on
Fig. 2. Overlaid peak profiles of basic solutes recorded on the BEH HILIC column at various pH values

Fig. 3. Overlaid peak profiles of acidic solutes recorded on the BEH HILIC column at various pH values
the surface of the stationary phase. Thus, it is very likely that the mutual repulsion is also responsible for the overloading of anionic solutes in HILIC on the bare silica phase. Deterioration in the acetyl-
salicylic acid peak shapes with the sample load was considerably less at pH 3.0. At this pH, the negative charge of the weakly acidic solute should be significantly reduced causing suppression of ionic repulsion.

Finally, a quite different pattern was obtained for nicotinic acid at pH 3.0. Under acidic conditions nicotinic acid shows the tailing overload behaviour similar to that obtained for the cationic compounds. This result can be attributed to the zwitterionic nature of the solute. Nicotinic acid contains an amine group (pK$_{a1}$ = 2.2) and a carboxylic group (pK$_{a2}$ = 4.8). Consequently, at weakly acidic and neutral pHs, the amine group is neutral, whereas the carboxylic group is partially or completely deprotonated and the solute carries a net negative charge. Under acidic conditions (pH 3.0), due to the protonation of both functionalities the solute is converted into a positively charged form.

Overloading properties on the BEH Amide column

Figure 4 illustrates the overlaid peak profiles of the cationic solutes measured for different sample loads on the BEH Amide column at three mobile phase pH values. Surprisingly, on the amide phase cationic solutes exhibited a quite different overloading behaviour. The peak shapes for creatinine showed the increased tailing with the increased sample load. This behaviour is similar to that obtained on the BEH HILIC column. By contrast, for 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium cation peak fronting with increased retention occurs as the sample load increased. However, such different behaviour is difficult to explain. The amide groups of the chemically bonded amide phase lack basic properties and their protonation seems unlikely in the pH range used in this work. In addition, this phase does not contain negatively charged silanol groups other than unreacted residual silanols. Thus, the overloading behaviour of charged compounds on the amide phase cannot be explained on the basis of ionic solute–silanol interactions alone.

![Fig. 4. Overlaid peak profiles of basic solutes recorded on the BEH Amide column at various pH values](image-url)
Similarly, McCalley [12] observed a different overloading behaviour of the ionized bases under HILIC conditions on the bare silica phase. Two bases, nortriptyline and diphenhydramine, showed the increased fronting as the sample load increased, whereas procainamide showed the increasing tailing with the increasing load. According to the author, such results may be attributed to a different hydrophilicity of the bases. Fronting in HILIC could be due to the anti-Langmuir sorption behaviour, where solute–solute interactions cause increased retention as the sample load increases. It is possible that the solute–solute interactions for less hydrophilic bases such as nortriptyline and diphenhydramine could be promoted in the environment of a bound layer of water on the stationary phase. In contrast, procainamide is a considerably more hydrophilic base, so the solute–solute interactions may not be promoted. However, in our study an opposite trend was observed: less hydrophilic creatinine showed peak tailing whereas more hydrophilic (i.e. stronger retained in HILIC) 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium showed fronting of peaks with the sample load. Clearly, further studies are needed to explain these contradictions.

The overlaid peak profiles of acetylsalicylic and nicotinic acids obtained on the BEH Amide column at three mobile phase pH values are given in Fig. 5. A visual comparison of Figs. 3 and 5 shows that the overloaded peak profiles recorded on both BEH HILIC and BEH Amide columns are very similar. Thus, it seems that the mutual repulsion of solutes of the same charge is most likely to be responsible for overload of the acidic compounds on both phases.

**Loadability comparison**

For a quantitative evaluation of column loadability, a simple empirical method was proposed by McCalley et al. [17]. This approach involves the measurement of two parameters: the limiting plate count \(N_0\) and the sample loading capacity \(c_{0.5}\). The limiting plate count is the column efficiency that should be observed when the amount of the solute injected is so small that a linear isotherm pertains. The sample loading capacity is the solute concentration that leads to the plate count equal to half of the limiting plate count \(N_0\). However, due to the limited solubility of the ionized solutes in acetonitrile-rich HILIC mobile

![Fig. 5. Overlaid peak profiles of the acidic solutes recorded on the BEH Amide column at various pH values](image-url)
phases, the 50% reduction of the initial efficiency for some solutes could not be reached. Therefore, in the present study, the sample loading capacity $c_{0.8}$ was measured which corresponds to the solute concentration that leads to a 20% loss in $N'_{0}$.

Figure 6 shows the plots of BEH HILIC column efficiency for all solutes as a function of the solute mass loaded at three pH values. Figure 7 shows similar loading plots obtained on the BEH Amide column. These plots provide a simple visual indication of the effects of overload. Sample loading capacity values calculated for different columns, solutes and pHs are presented in Table 2. As can be observed, in neutral and slightly acidic mobile phases, the loadability of the BEH HILIC column was much higher for bases than for acids. For example, $c_{0.8}$ for creatinine and 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium at pH 7.0 were 5.3 and >8.0 mmol/L, respectively, compared with 1.2 and 1.5 mmol/L for acetylsalicylic acid and nicotinic acid, respectively. In addition, using the bare silica phase the loading capacity for bases increases with pH whereas an opposite trend was observed for acidic compounds. As a result, at pH 3.0 the loadability of the BEH HILIC column for all solutes became similar. The obtained results indicate that ionic interactions with dissociated surface silanols play an important role in the overloading behaviour of the charged solutes on the bare silica phase. Thus, in order to maximize the loadability of the bare silica phase, the separation of acidic solutes should be performed at acidic pH, and that of basic solutes should be performed at neutral pH.

Fig. 6. The plot of column efficiency vs mass of solute on the BEH HILIC column at various pH values
Fig. 7. The plot of column efficiency vs mass of solute on the BEH Amide column at various pH values

Table 2. Sample loading capacity (c0.8) values for different columns, solutes and pHs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Solute</th>
<th>(c_{0.8}), mmol/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pH 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEH HILIC</td>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-Ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium</td>
<td>&gt;8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicotinic acid</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEH Amide</td>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-Ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicotinic acid</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BEH Amide column gave similar loading capacity values for both types of solutes. In addition, its loadability is less sensitive to pH changes, compared to that of the BEH HILIC column. Such results could be attributed to a considerably lower density of free silanol groups on the amide phase.
CONCLUSIONS

The overloading performance of two HILIC stationary phases (bare silica and amide-bonded silica) was compared using acidic and basic compounds as model solutes. The loading capacity of bare silica for basic solutes can be considerably enhanced by working at neutral pH. In contrast, a better loadability of acidic compounds was obtained at low pH. The amide phase showed a relatively little difference in the loading properties for both acidic and basic solutes and its loadability is less sensitive to pH changes. From a practical viewpoint, the bare silica phase is recommended for the analysis of basic solutes whereas for acidic solutes the amide phase is preferred.
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